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Thesis: Canadian political science requires a high degree of intellectual autonomy from the American manifestation of that discipline. A miniature replica Canadian political science is a formula for inadequate scholarship. (suggests that a division of labour among countries is the way to go).

Undesirable aspects of American political science:

* insularity (Canadian political science is less insular – sustains more contacts with other countries)
* behaviouralism
* ideological hegemony of lockean liberalism (Canadian culture also sustains socialism and toryism)
* ongoing politization of the American discipline (increasing preoccupation with domestic and imperial concerns)

Key difference between the two “political sciences”: superior size, level of specialisation and wealth of the American political science.

Consequences:

* in the Canadian setting, an extensive division of labour is more likely to lead to intellectual isolation
* large scale research projects are less available in Canada

Between the two WW, Canadian scholarship began to escape from its almost total dependence on that of Britain. Main characteristics of this scholarship:

* historical
* eclectic and showed a marked disregard for the boundaries of academic disciplines
* individualistic (i.e. few collective scholarly enterprises)

New directions (very different from American discipline)

* revival of political economy
* neo-institutionalism (contradicts behaviouralism)
* marxist scholarship
* rediscover our roots (reject the disciplinary boundaries…)